



**Center for Global & Strategic
Studies, Islamabad**

International Conference Report

INDIA'S FALSE FLAG OPERATIONS

16th APRIL 2019, ISLAMABAD



“CGSS is a Public Policy Institute with a mission to help improve policy and decision-making through analysis and research”

Copyright © Center for Global & Strategic Studies (CGSS)

All rights reserved

Please do not disseminate, distribute or reproduce, in whole or part, this report without prior consent of CGSS



CGSS
Center for Global & Strategic
Studies

3rd Floor, 1-E, Ali Plaza, Jinnah Avenue, Islamabad, Pakistan

Tel: +92-51-8319682

Email: info@cgss.com.pk Web: www.cgss.com.pk

International Conference Report
“India’s False Flag Operations”



Organized by
Center for Global & Strategic Studies (CGSS), Islamabad
at
Margala Hotel, Islamabad on
16th April 2019

BRIEF OF THE EVENT

On 16th April 2019, Center for Global & Strategic Studies (CGSS), Islamabad organized an International Conference on “India’s False Flag Operations” at Margala Hotel, Islamabad.

The Conference had the participation of distinguished guest speakers and experts of the subject. The guest speakers who delivered speeches during the Conference included:

- a. Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery HI(M), (Retd) – President CGSS
- b. Dr. Ejaz Akram – Advisor to the President of National Defence University
- c. Mr. Makhdoom Babar, Editor- in -Chief, The Daily Mail
- d. Mr. Tauqir Ahmed- Senior Member Advisory Board CGSS
- e. Mr. Elias Davidsson – Author of the Book, The Betrayal of India (Keynote Speaker)

INTRODUCTION OF SPEAKERS

Mr. Elias Davidsson – Author of the book; “The Betrayal of India”



He is a renowned author & co-founder of the Association Iceland-Palestine. In the 1990s, against Saddam Hussein, Davidson developed the thesis that economic sanctions were inadmissible for human and criminal reasons, and published three articles in legal journals. Mr. Davidson began his career as a computer programmer, a field in which he worked for 22 years. The deadly economic sanctions imposed on Iraq in the 1990s led Davidson to examine the legality of such measures under international and human rights laws.

Regarding the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, Mr. Davidson published in 2011, a series of articles in the journal *Ossietzky* in which he denied the official investigation results and instead propagated the other. 11 September 2001 conspiracy theorists spread false flag thesis and called Islamist terrorism a "myth. The book, "Betrayal of India" by Elias Davidsson, is a remarkable effort of the author in re-visiting the 26/11 evidence. With meticulous research, the book demolishes virtually all the principal aspects of the narrative constructed by the Indian government, media, judiciary, and others — reinforced by robust Western support — holding Pakistan responsible for organizing or permitting the attacks in 2008. This book represents a comprehensive and critical evaluation of the official narrative of 26/11, as reflected in court documents and the news media. It sheds clear light on every event surrounding Mumbai attack and Indian complicity. The difference between actual events and official Indian narrative is comprehensively evaluated. The author categorically proved the Mumbai attack incident with researched-based pieces of evidence that the massacre was orchestrated by Indian state and got benefited from it on global level.

Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery HI(M), (Retd) – President CGSS



Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery, HI (M), (Retd) is the Former Director-General of Anti-Narcotics Force, Pakistan and also remained Pakistan's Ambassador to Bosnia-Herzegovina. He has served on various command, staff and instructional assignments including Military Intelligence Directorate, General Headquarters, and Inter-Services Intelligence Headquarters

Dr. Ejaz Akram – Advisor to the President of National Defence University



Dr. Ejaz Akram is an Advisor to the President of National Defense University (NDU) Islamabad and Professor of Religion & Politics in the Faculty of Contemporary Studies at NDU. Professor Akram served as Associate Professor at the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences in LUMS from 2005-2016. He was Assistant Professor at American University in Cairo, summer faculty at Franklin College Switzerland, and adjunct faculty at Franklin & Marshall College, Pennsylvania, USA. He holds a Ph.D.

in world politics (Specializations: Religion & Politics and Comparative political philosophy) from the Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C. He holds M.A. in Comparative and Regional Studies (Middle East and South Asia) from the School of International Service (SIS) at American University in Washington, D.C., and M.A. in International Relations from CUA, Washington, D.C. Professor Akram's research and teaching focus on Islam and the Muslim world, but also the religious and political issues and political philosophies of Judaism, Christianity in Western traditions and Hinduism, Buddhism, Confucianism, and Taoism from among the Eastern traditions. He has taught a variety of courses such as the field of religion and world politics, Islamic philosophy and theology, Islamic political philosophy, East Asia and the Muslim world, Islam and the West and the philosophy and politics of global ecology. Dr. Akram has published several books, scholarly articles,

reviews and editorials and appears on several radio and television programs in North America, Middle East, and South Asia.

Mr. Makhdoom Babar - Editor - in - Chief, The Daily Mail & Investigative Journalist



Mr. Makhdoom has marked several special investigation reports about Indian Army, RAW and India's nuclear propaganda. Many of his special reports created a agitation on India and he is the most quoted Pakistani journalist in Indian media while is described as Indologist by international media.

Mr. Tauqir Ahmed - Senior Member Advisory Board CGSS



Mr. Tauqir Ahmed is Consultant Security Management, Risk & Threat assessment. He is also currently serving as Senior Member advisory board and security consultant Centre for Global & Strategic Studies (CGSS) Islamabad based Think Tank which has published and held seminars on FATA reforms, Policy paper on Kashmir and India issues, Relations with Afghanistan and the way forward, Seminar on Saudi-Iran & Qatar issues, US President Trump's new AF-PAK policy. Relations with China, Russia, and Central Asian countries. He is also the Member of National Committee on jail reforms -CPEC Project security proposal and independent consultant for China on CPEC Security & Political issues in Pakistan.

**Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery HI(M), (Retd) – President
CGSS**



Maj Gen Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery commenced his speech by thanking the panelists, audience and especially Mr. Davidson who specially traveled from Germany for the Conference. He said that this topic is very close to the hearts and there are certain issues that are normally ignore and go according to what is written in the mainstream media. People like Mr. Davidson Provide us fresh insight into the various false flag activities which have been going on.

Mr. Jaffrey stated that the Indian false flag operations are a subject that exigous people have considered in Pakistan and normally the people follow the media what it says. Our first reaction to it is that we blame ourselves. That is in our psyche, but later on we discover it that we had not done it, somebody else did it. He said this is something that should be approached from a neutral angle and observe that what are the benefits of doing such things for which we are blamed.

He then defines a false flag operation and asked what actually, is a false flag operation, as its use in the current affairs has increased significantly. The people belonging to the military, diplomatic and media community are familiar with this term, but those who are not in the loop of these terminologies, for them False flag operation is defined as: “the false flag operation is a covert operation designed to deceive. The deception creates the appearance of a particular party, group or nation being responsible for some activity, disguising the actual source of responsibility”. He went on and said that while false flag operations have probably existed for centuries, its appearances in the dictionaries is limited. The Oxford dictionary traces the term false flag to 1569, though its first citation is figurative use “deliberate misrepresentation of someone affiliations or motives, something use deliberately to misrepresent in this way”. Though the term dates back to earlier century, its first citation came of the maritime meaning in 1824 as “a flag use to disguise a ship by misrepresenting its nationality, allegiance, and intent.”

Mr. Major General Khalid Amir Jaffery told the participants that in the contemporary era this term extends beyond Naval encounters to include

countries that organize attacks on themselves and make the attack appear as the enemy state has done it or terrorists, thus giving the impression that the nation was supposedly attacked for a pretext other than actual fact. While talking about the purpose of the false flag operations he added that false flag operations are designed to deceive about the perpetrators. They maybe use as a standalone case of casus belli or part of the long term strategy of tension.

He at that time talked about the Indian false flag operations and apprised the audience that India with the aim of maleficent Pakistan's reputations in the international arena which has never spared Pakistan from blaming on frenzy grounds. From the unrest in New Delhi to the chaos in Karnataka, Pakistan has been held responsible for every incident of restlessness in India. He further highlighted that India not only accuses Pakistan but also persuade other international players to think likewise. However, when evidence is demanded from the Government of India, they have always failed to produce any substantial evidence in front of international statutory bodies. The majority of those agendas to accuse Pakistan in heinous activities were proven wrong through investigations carried out by their own agencies also. Mr. Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery then said that he will only cover those operations which were exposed basically by their own agencies.

Ganga Airplane High Jacking

Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery stated that the First of many Indian false flag operations is the Ganga airplane high jacking. The research reveals that on January 30th, 1971, the Indian Agency RAW conducted a false flag operation by making its operatives to highjack a Fokker friendship aircraft named Ganga from India to Lahore. The Indian Government had immediately claimed that Kashmiri Freedom fighters- Hashem Qureshi and Ashraf Butt were behind the hijacking. India disallowed Pakistani aircraft from using its airspace forthwith. Pakistani planes could no longer fly to East Pakistan, now Bangladesh. On February 1st, the passengers in crew of Ganga were released in Lahore and the aircraft was burnt. Interestingly this particular aircraft had already been withdrawn from services but was re-inducted for this operation a few days before the hijacking by Indian airlines. Mr Jaffery further added that the events that followed, showed that the purpose behind the false flag operation was to cut off East-West Air Flights of Pakistan, so as to give a free hand to Mujeeb Ur Rehman and his cronies to speed up their cessation plan and create Bangladesh. This over-flight ban in the run-up to the December 1971 war, later had a significant impact on troop movement

into erstwhile East Pakistan. East Pakistan thus remained cut off from West Pakistan till the very end of the war.

Chittisinghpura Massacre 2000

Mr. Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery highlighted the second Indian False Flag operation and told the audience that on 20th March 2000, more than 35 Sikhs were killed in a mass shooting incident in the Anantnag district of Jammu and Kashmir. This day is still considered, a day of annihilation and blood bath for the Sikh community of Chittisinghpura. Initially the Indian authorities accused the terrorist outfit called Lashkar e Tayyaba and blamed Pakistan for carrying out this attack on the Sikh community of Kashmir. A person named Sohail Malik who was accused of executing this act of mass killing, but later on the Delhi Court itself absorbed him of these charges. However credibility of several renowned news agencies like the New York Times was also challenged when they attributed the carnage to Lashkar e Tayyaba. Dismissal of the case by Delhi Court and the coordinated hoax confessions by the news agencies was a confirmation that this massacre was a false flag operation blamed on Pakistan to defame the country in the international arena.

He further told that, In 2017, a Retired Lieutenant General KS Gill, who was part of the investigation, told Sikh News Express in an interview with



Journalist Jasneet Singh that the Indian army was involved in the massacre and the report had been submitted to L. K. Advani, who was a home minister to the then National Democratic Alliance Government. Another interesting element of this incident took place just before the first visit of Bill Clinton to Pakistan and India. (This visit was being conducted after 22 years). However the findings of Madeleine Albright, a known politician and diplomat of USA, in 2006 in a book titled- "The Mighty and the Almighty: Reflections on America, God, and World Affairs", indicated that Hindu extremists were behind this monstrous plot. In addition, Bill Clinton's office condemned this incident and also rejected the claim of Indian authorities that this attack was carried out by Pakistani Islamists groups.

The hijacking of Flight 814

The honorable speaker then gave details of another false operation of India. He told that the Indian Airlines Flight 814, commonly known as IC 814, was an Indian Airlines Airbus A300 en-route from International Airport in Kathmandu, Nepal to Indira Gandhi International Airport in Delhi, India on Friday, 24 December 1999. It was hijacked and flown to several locations before landing in Kandahar, Afghanistan and Harkat-ul-Mujahideen were accused of the hijacking with the support of the ISI. The aircraft was hijacked by gunmen shortly after it entered Indian airspace at about 17:30 hours. Hijackers ordered the aircraft to be flown to several locations. After touching down in Amritsar and Dubai, the hijackers finally forced the aircraft to land in Kandahar, which at the time was controlled by the Taliban. The hijackers released 27 of 176 passengers in Dubai, but fatally stabbed one and wounded several others. Facing sharp criticism of the government's decision to negotiate with the hijackers, Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee accused Pakistan of being behind the incident and called on major nations, including the US to declare Pakistan a terrorist state.

He further added that this episode was meant to portrayed Pakistan as a supporter of terrorism and India as a victim. Pakistani officials have said that the government had no role in the hijacking and suggested that India engineered the high jacking to discredit Pakistan. The Prime Minister's statement laying the blame of high jacking at Pakistan's door was further escalated by the accusations of Indian Foreign Minister Jaswant Singh, who insisted that India had proofs of Pakistan's involvement in the hijacking, but when he was asked for it, Mr. Singh did not offer any.

The 2001 Indian Parliament Attack

Major General Syed Khalid Amir Jafferey further told the participants about the offensive Indian Parliament attack. The 2001 attack was a terrorist attack on the Parliament of India in Delhi on 13th Dec 2001. The perpetrators were said to be members of Lashkar e Tayyaba and Jaish e Muhammad which were operating from Pakistani soil. The attack led to the death of total 14 people as per the media. This increased the tensions between India and Pakistan, resulting in a standoff in 2001 and 2002. The 2001 attack on Indian Parliament was mentioned by India Today as yet another National Security move. Satish Varma, a part of the Central Board of Investigation SIT probe team, said that the 2001 attack on the Indian Parliament and the 2008 Mumbai Attacks were set up with the objective of strengthening the Counterterrorism Legislation of India. Sukumar Muralidharan, from the International Federation of journalists, said that "The media failed to do what they ought to have, even after the High Court's verdict to investigate police claims and revisit the case, they were not examined".

Both the Delhi High Court and the Supreme Court of India have noted that the police violated legal safeguards, fabricated evidence and extracted false confessions. This was said by Arundhati Roy in her book 'The Hanging of Afzal Guru and the Strange Case of the Attack on the Indian Parliament'. Afzal guru was used as a scapegoat by Indian agencies in their orchestrated terror plan. He wasn't provided a lawyer at the crucial stage of his trial. The special cell of the Delhi Police, which was placed in charge of investigating the case, used the media to brand Mr. Guru as guilty even before the trial. The Delhi High Court acknowledged that the investigating agency fabricated the evidence against the accused, yet they upheld the verdict. Mr. Guru's Trial does not meet the international standard for a fair trial. He was denied due process, legal counsel and the presumption of innocent until proven guilty.

The 2001 Jammu and Kashmir Legislative Assembly Car Bombing

He further talked about another false flag operation and said that on Monday 1st October 2001, Indian government allegedly stated that three militants belonging to the Jaish Muhammad carried out an attack on the Jammu and Kashmir State. Legislative Assembly in Srinagar using a truck loaded with explosives, ramming it into the main gate with three suicide bombers. 38 people at 3 Fedayeen were killed in the attack. Whether it was a mere

coincidence or a pre-planned execution that no lawmaker was killed and many senior leaders had already left the building.

The Military Camp Attacked In Occupied Kashmir In 2016.

He additionally, reminded the audience regard the Military camp attack and stated that in September 2016 just a day, Pakistani Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif was gearing up to address the United Nations General Assembly and enlighten the world about Indian atrocities held in Kashmir and those at the helm of affairs in New Delhi. They had yet again accused Pakistan of attacking a military camp in occupied Kashmir without any substantial proof. India had actually wanted to dilute the effects of Nawaz Sharif's speech at the UN and India keeps on spelling out this incident and the timing of airing such misshaped and fabricated myth which is extremely insignificant.

Samjota Express Blasts

Mr. Jafferey then Highlighted that the Samjota Express attack and told about the Hindu extremists in 2007 which carried out a preplanned false flag operation on the Samjota Express, which was previously claimed on Muslim groups. Local Reports said that Kemal Chauhan a disgruntled worker of the Hindu revivalist (RSS) was arrested on Sunday by the National Intelligence Agency from Noida near Delhi. According to NIA, Mr. Chauhan had planted the bombs on board of the Samjota Express after undergoing training in arms and explosives in Haryana and Madhya Pradesh. Hemant Karkare carried out the inquiry in this Samjota express attack. He had identified that Hindutva organizations were responsible for terrorism in India and had already made many political commentators call it the Hindutva terror or the Saffron Terror.

Opposition parties including the BJP and Shiv Sena had accused the arrests were made under the pressure of the incumbent government in an attempt to appease India's Muslim population. Hemant Karkary as you very well know was killed in the Mumbai attacks. Whereas his killer was also part of the perpetrators of the Mumbai attack and he was speaking Marathi, witnessed by people present at the moment. Pakistan urged India to share the findings of Investigations to Samjota train blasts, but they have not done so. Media Reports say that Swami Aseemanand, leader of the Hindu extremist organization RSS, confessed before a judicial magistrate that he

and his fellow RSS activists were involved in the Blast in the Samjota Express, at mosques in Malegaon, Maharashtra, in Hyderabad and Muslim Shrine in Ajmer and Rajasthan.

He further added that according to credible sources Mrs. Karkaray earlier denied the involvement of Hindus in her husband death because she was afraid of the Hindu RSS. On the condition of anonymity, her close friends said that Mrs. Karkary has received threats from the RSS just before the attack. Colonel Rohit in the Indian Supreme Court confessed to carrying out this operation, he was a serving colonel. He confessed to training the extremist Hindus to cause an armed conflict between India and Pakistan. He stated that his job required interacting with both Hindu and Muslim extremists. Mr Jafferey said that these statements openly reflects the mentality of the Indian army and the government. It also shows that going to the historic Anti-Pakistan poster of India in general and the Hindutawa approach of the BJP government specifically, India uses this as a tool to construct propaganda against Pakistan.



The Bombing of the Makkah Mosque in Hyderabad

Moreover, he informed the participants that the Makkah mosque bombing occurred on 18 May 2007 inside the Makkah masjid a mosque in Hyderabad. 14 people were reported dead in this incident. The NIA, CBI and the Anti-Terrorist Squad India questioned former members of the RSS. Again, Mr. Swami Aseem Anand confessed, that this attack was carried out by these people. According to India's Tehelka Magazine which has obtained a copy of his 42-page confession, he told his interrogators that the attacks on Muslim places in India were in response to attacks by so-called Islamists militants in India. A quote from it says that many of those involved in the bombing are the members of the RSS, the right-wing parent organization of the BJP, which is currently in power. The NIA officer, in charge of the case Prathipa Ambedkar was abruptly removed when he started going into the details.

The Blast in the Malegaon Mosque 2008

By further exposing Indian False flag operation the honorable speaker then gave another account of India false flag operation. He said that again India initially blamed this attack on the students of the Islamic Movement of India accusing Pakistan of backing it, but a charge sheet filed in 2013 put the blame on Hindu extremist group Abhinav Bharat. On 25th April 2016 the court found that the initial ATS charges, were fabricated and they released the nine Muslims that were arrested previously.

He explained that of course, we have the Mumbai attacks. Then we have the Ahmadabad bombing, the Pathankot incident. All these were false flag operations conducted by India to antagonistic Pakistan. Later on no evidence was found that proved these allegations.

Attack on the Sri Lankan Cricket Team

He further points out that the attack on the Sri Lankan team and said that the attack on Sri Lankan National Cricket Team took place in Lahore when a bus filled with the Sri Lankan cricket team players were going to the stadium. He said that he does not need any proofs of the Indian involvement, the reason being that he was at a conference in Bangkok and was approached by the Sri Lankan Chief of Police, who was also attending the match. He is retired now and is living in Colombo. He took me aside and said, "General. Do you know what happened to our team?" The Indians, he said, had approached him and told him that "please do not send your team to Pakistan because we want to

isolate Pakistan in sports. If you send your team you will be responsible.” This is exactly what I was told by the chief of police of Sri Lanka.

The Pathankot airbase incident in Indian Punjab

He added that Pakistan was blamed by Indian authorities and they failed to provide any proof of the Pathankot incident. An internal probe actually had thrown an egg on the Indian government’s face as Zee News reported in 2016, the DG of NIA Mr. Sharat Kumar said that there was no evidence to indicate the direct complicity of the Pakistani government or the Pakistani agencies in the Pathankot attack.

Uri attack of 2016

Mr. Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery then shared the details of the Uri attack and told that in this attack of 2016, four heavily armed militants’ near the town of Uri on 18 September 2016, carried out this attack. In this attack, there was a video also, which is now been taken out of YouTube. There was a conversation in that video in which two people were talking and they said that we planned the Uri attack but nothing happened so now we have now to plan an attack in which more people have to be killed. This video is now taken off YouTube. And that is what probably led to the Pulwama attack because they wanted to kill more people, create more problems and create more rips.

Pulwama Attack

The honorable speaker then asked a few questions about this false flag operation, he said he will not say much about it as we all are very well aware of it. But there are few questions that he would like to raise which clearly shows that no evidence is there:

How come 350 kgs of explosives in the car were undetected?

How come a very heavily protected convoy in Kashmir, allow this car to come so near?

Where are the body parts of the attacker?

How come this happened to the Convoy and people killed were mostly Sikhs by the way?

In the end, while concluding his speech Major Gen Syed Khalid Amir Jaffery (Retd) said that there are many other questions also and he will not go into the details due to the shortage of time. There are many other incidents also which are very suspicious but he has deliberately omitted them because he has only talked about the incidents which everyone is sure are the false flag operations done by India to portray Pakistan as a terrorist state whereas now we all know that who is the victim and who is the terrorist.



Dr. Ejaz Akram - Advisor to the President of National Defence University

Dr. Ejaz Akram started his speech with the name of Allah. Then he thanked the staff of the Centre for Global and Strategic Studies Islamabad and all the distinguished Guests. He stated that we all have heard General Jafferey expositions of the False Flag Operations inside India. It is a horrendous crime against humanity, killing your own citizens, members of so many different communities and blaming it on others. He asked how long can this go on and as we have seen through the recent Pulwama Attack that even many institutions in the West that are ostensibly the allies of the state of India are actually not hesitating and blowing its cover. This brings us (Pakistanis) to

analyze what is going on, but it is also a soul-searching moment for the Indians, mostly the Hindu Community. A quarter of which is the hardcore Hindutwa and 2pc of them is the Brahmin community who defines themselves now as a racial continuity with its past, something which Indian religion does not ordain. Mr Ejaza Akram said that the purpose of his speech will be to uncover some of the Psychological elements that have somehow crept into the Indian Society, that not only threatening India, South Asia, but the entire Globe.

Mr. Dr. Ejaz told the audience that peace in the region and in the world is at risk because Pakistan and India are nuclear countries. He warned that we have to be very very careful as it has become a global headache to actually analyze what is inside. the Indian mind?. He then told the participants that he will present a sort of psychological, social and political analysis of why the Indians have become, the way they have become.

He said that understanding the roots of modern Hindu fascism, in 1946 Mahatma Gandhi said that we will not allow the sacred kind of cow of India to be divided into two. Similarly, in 1948 Jawahar Lal Nehru said one day Pakistan will have to rejoin India. Also in 1948, Sardar Patel said sooner or later Pakistan will have to be reintegrated with India. In 1957, Thakur Parsad Singh said we shall not rest till Pakistan is destroyed and merged with Bharat. Dr Ejaz reminded the audience that these people are not the followers of V. D. Savarkar, the founder of the RSS, where all the roots of Hindu fascism go. These people, especially Gandhi is, within the internal debate of Hinduism remained quite opposed to the RSS mentality, as a matter of fact, he was killed by the RSS people.

Dr. Ejaz Akram asked how India became the way they have become from how they used to be. After all it is the old religion in the world, six thousand years old. He also asked that do the Aryans really belongs to the subcontinent is another big question. The Indians claim that India is an Aryan society (Arya Semaj) and hence the Muslims being the Semites need to go away. They also believe that the Dalits and others who are not Aryans need to be either suppressed or reduced into slave labor.

He clarified that the Aryans are actually from Central Asia and not from India. The local population of India were the Negrito tribes, which the British labeled as the Negrito tribes of India are actually the Dravidians. There

existed a huge difference between the physical characteristics of the Aryans and the Dravidians. Dr. Ejaz Akram said if one does historical analysis the land actually belongs to the Dravidians. Hinduism comes about six thousand years ago, the Vedas comes out of the mists of time, nobody knows how they came here, but it is very well-known that the Aryans brought them here. When they brought them to this alien land, the religion, the culture and the people that existed prior to the arrival of the Aryans were either marginalized, decimated and reduced into something much smaller than they really were. He said that if this is true, can we say that the modern Hindu actually has a legitimate claim to the land of India, which they consider as sacred land. This is the same land where the world most old religion Hinduism and young religion Islam meet. He added that Islam and Muslims also came through the same routes through which Hindus came four thousand years ago. So, this whole claim according to precepts of modern as well traditional Hinduism is bogus.

Mr. Ijaz Akram shared that Hindus themselves are castigating the fellow Hindus for this whole notion of cast. When the Vedas came, the whole idea of what Hinduism was based on was the idea of discernment. He told that Muslims are familiar with it, as the holy book Quran has many titles, Quran al Kareem, Kitaab ul Insaaf and Kitaab ul Furqaan, etc. What actually is Furqan? Furqan is discernment, knowing from the good from that which is not good. So, the basis of the Hindu religion is discernment: knowing what is good from that which is not so good. He said that it has led to occupational structure some most important functions will be produced by those who know it most and the other would be performed by those who know in the hierarchy of what is good, somewhat less good.

He further explained that caste has nothing to do with Genetics, it has nothing to do with your family or genealogy. According to Hinduism, it is nothing but the temperament of the soul. If you are a Brahmin and you are practicing Brahmacharya, your son who does not want to take the Brahmacharya, but is much more interested in making money, he is Veshar, he cannot be a Brahmin, even though, and genetically he is your son. Similarly, it is found in deep antiquity examples, where the people in Indian society who were born as Shudders, but died as Brahmins or Avatars. So, there was this fluidity in the caste system in Indian society which somehow 2600 years ago went into crisis. The cast became a perverted notion of itself

and Jasper called it somehow divinity found it necessary to send another exemplar of perfection, who was sent to India in the form of Lord Budha. Siddhartha Gautama challenged all of the notion precepts born into a Hindu society and said all of your caste systems, Pratna and Pooja have no meaning. Mr Akram said this is very similar to the arrival of Christ in amongst those Jews for whom the Talmudic had become an exercise and devoid of deep significance and meanings and so God made it necessary to send another similar reinstatement of the same message in the form of the Christ.

Mr. Ijaz Akram explained that Buddha was symptomatic of the first crisis of the central doctrines of Hinduism. Buddhism had no future in India because they were driven out and so all of Buddhism spread into East Asia through Pakistan. Taxila proceeded from where monk Marhananta took Buddhism all the way to East Asia. The same route was later followed by Islam years later. The only place where Islam did not go in East Asia was Japan, but the same route (silk route) was used by Marhananta. He further said that the perversion of the caste system was one of the major reasons, which actually did not come with the arrival of Muslims or British, but it actually happens long time back.

Mr. Ijaz Akram then told the audience that the caste system exists everywhere in the world, even in Pakistan many people highlight their own castes, and people also take pride in their origins. Contrary to that caste inside India operate very differently for instance; a person who is born as a peasant inside of France in the middle ages could not become a part of the nobility or the royalty, but the same person could join the convent or become a friar or a brother in a church and one day become a bishop and then rise to become a pop and could legitimize and delegitimize your emperors. Mr Akram said the Hindu contribution to the world of knowledge is that there are four stations of wisdom, but those stations must have fluidity and have nothing to do with genetics or birth, which has become the perversion of the caste system. He clarified that this perversion of the caste system is now hurting India the most.

Moreover, Mr. Ijaz Akram shared his thoughts on Hinduism and said that it has given a lot of comfort to many souls for 6,000 years. A religion that is false could not do that. A false theory doesn't have any grounds of its own and it crumble very quickly, but there must some kind of authentic

spirituality inside of Hinduism which has made it sustained for so many years. He said it has now run into crisis. This transition from an excellent spiritual path to a downright perversion has become global headache and a threat to the world. This transition is now visible in the actions of not only the Indian Government but also various sections of the Indian society, including their media and entertainment industry. Mr Ijaz Akram told that we see anger, frustration, and indecency in the type of discourse adopted by India. This sorrowful anti-Muslims/ anti-Pakistan state of mind that the Indian media and entertain industry portray is imbibed in by the society and symbiotically they reinforce each other. This has reached an extent that it has now created a certain psychological condition at a mass level in India's society and media. This transformation of the Indian society and ruling junta did not happen accidentally or suddenly, it has a very long link.

Mr. Ijaz Akram then elaborated the fascism and said that the encyclopedia defined it as a form of radical, right-winged, authoritarian and ultra-nationalist movement characterized by dictatorial power forcible suppression of the opposition in a strong regimentation of the society and economy. These four elements radicalism, right-wing authoritarian and ultra-nationalism are present in the modern Hindutva movement. He further said that authors also describe India as the largest democracy in the World, but when one reads John Pilger's "Understanding the roots of Democratic Fascism", then one understands that this type of Thinking was there in the authoritarian regimes and equally present in the democratic regimes. This helps us to understand what kind of democracy is practiced in India.

The esteemed speaker then said that Pankaj Mishra uses a small framework by Umberto Eco by trying to explain the psychology of vengeance that has come about in India. Pankaj says there are certain elements in it but in India's case there that are not traditional. He further told that all the traditional religions became eclipsed at the turn of the Century; Zionism was a parody of the traditional Judaism that came first in the late 18th hundreds. Then in the early 19th hundreds, the Christian right movements in North America and New Hampshire came about. Also in the 1920's the Hindu right-wing Movements of Arya Semaj and its various offshoots came about.

Mr. Ijaz Akram informed the audience that Islamic fundamentalism came very later in history. If it is compared with other fundamentalist movements

it looks fuzzy somehow different. It is because Muslims, no matter how fundamentalists they become, still Sharia can be invoked on them. If they do wrong and are still practicing Sharia they can be punished. Mr Ijaz Akram pointed out that the modern world is the world of extremes in which all of the world fundamentalists from the bosom of which this fascism has been born are each other's friends; the Israelis, their largest constituency is not the Jews in North America, but it's actually the Christian right in America. The biggest support for Israel comes from the Christian right not from the Jews in America. The same is happening in India. The Hindutva movement, which came all the way from Arya Semaj, RSS and other offshoots are actually good friends of Zionists. As far as the Muslim fundamentalists are concerned the real and the ones that are created (ISIS, TTP, and Al-Nusra, etc.) operate hands in glove. This trend shows that the extremists around the world are good buddies.



While concluding his remarks he elaborated that today the minorities in India are living in a very destitute life and rape is a new political weapon in Modi's Shining India. Indian democracy is a threat to the world. Every time the Indians go close to their elections, people in Pakistan become nervous because they know that it may accrue loss of life on this side of the border. The contemporary Hindu-Zionist alliance is operating behind the scenes

whose ultimate boogeyman is Pakistan. Despite, all the problems that Pakistan faces, it does not indulge in that kind of activity. If this anti-Pakistan alliance does not stop its Pakistan specific actions, Pakistan ultimately has to adopt those tactics as well, and these alliance partners will be very sorry for their actions. He then shared a relevant quotation from Alama Muhammad Iqbal that he had said 100 years ago: "It is extremely interesting to watch the birth and growth of a new idea among the people. Oh! The enthusiasm aspires the force with which it attracts all the energies of the people to one common, the modern Hindu is quite a phenomenon. For me, his behavior is more psychological than a political study. It seems that the idea of political freedom which is absolutely new to him has seized his entire soul turning the various streams of his energy from the water channels and bringing them to pour forth their whole force into this channel of activity. When he has passed through this experience he will realize his loss, he will be transformed into absolutely new people, new in the sense that it will not find it himself dominated by the ethical ideals of his ancestors, whose sublime fancies have been a source of perpetual consolation to so many distressed minds. Nations are mothers of ideas, but ideas in course of time become pregnant and give birth to new nations." For this ultra-nationalism that we see in form of RSS and Modi's India, Einstein said some remedial words: "This type of ultra-nationalism is an infantile thing, it is the measles of mankind". If India acknowledges its problems then it must realize that the solutions to its problems must come from a different level of consciousness than the one that it espouses now. The Indian must reconsider jettisoning all of the reform movements and try to go back to the precepts of spiritual principles of traditional Hinduism.

Mr. Makhdoom Babar - Editor - in - Chief, The Daily Mail & Investigative Journalist



Mr. Makhdoom Babar initiated his talk by revealing that the biggest success of the Indian intelligence agencies inside Pakistan has been that they were able to create an atmosphere in the media that everyone in this country has some knowledge about our intelligence agencies, but very few people know about the RAW and its head. This is because of the campaign which was introduced by the Indians so that the

heads of the ISI were made part of the discussions, before their formal nomination and spread disappointment across Pakistan. Similarly, contrary to the attitude of Pakistan's electronic media, no media channel in India went to the house of Kulbushan Yadav, nor they highlight his place of residence or family members. Likewise, no Indian reporter went to the house or identify the location of the residence of Sarabjeeth Singh, Kashmir Singh or other terrorists who operated in Pakistan.

He continued and underlined that the Pakistani media was efficiently in producing fictitious documentaries on Ajmal Kasab and his residence. Many people in Pakistan's media prefer to carry out such false flag operations in media against their own intelligence agencies and Army. The irony is that they feel pride in it. Similarly when we see something in the Indian Media against Pakistan, that news also gets enough coverage here, whereas our news is never taken to that spotlight in India. The main culprit who introduces this trend in Pakistan's media was Mr. Vikram Sood, who was neither intelligence or police officer, but he was postal service officer in India. He was trained by the B.Raman, the number two of RAW. This Vikram Sood was hired as RAW chief because he got very special media handling skills and he knew how to penetrate media's circles. He was appointed as the RAW head when the private media channel was starting in Pakistan. It was his initiatives later on that organizations like South Asia Media Association were introduced.

Mr. Makhdoom Babar then spoke about Ajit Kumar Doval. He told the audience that Ajit Kumar Doval was not an intelligence officer, but he was a police officer of the Indian police services. Raw normally appoints its officers that are posted in the Intelligence Bureau (IB) of India. IB is not much discussed in Pakistan, but it is as lethal as Raw. He shared few incidents in which Ajit Kumar Doval was involved. For instance the Kandahar High jacking, in which the chief negotiator with the hijackers was Ajit Kumar Doval himself. He was the Chief of IB Kashmir affairs in 90s. In the 90s, he also organized the Hazrat BAL shrine siege. His specialty is organizing fake factions of high profile freedom movements and fake high jacking's. For Khalistan, Maoists, and Kashmiris Mr. B. Raman and Ajit Doval are organizing such fake events. For instance, a person of very low profile Pakistani from Afghan Jihad who was arrested with arms from Kashmir by Doval and to whom majority of Indian media gave coverage, but surprisingly he was just charged with trespassing laws. This person along with other three persons remained in five-star detention, and later they were asked to go back to Pakistan and worked for India. The issue at that time was that nobody in Pakistan knows that low profile jihadi. For that purpose the Kandahar high jacking was planned to bring him.

Mr. Babar said it was unbelievable that a plane was high jacked just for the demand of a person, who was arrested in violating immigration laws and who almost completed his detention term. After that Kandahar episode those were released did nothing beneficial for Pakistan, but they have created troubles for Pakistan and help Indians to blame Pakistan, one way or the other.

The honorable speaker told that Mr Wajahat Habib Ullah, who was the former chief commissioner of Srinagar and Lt General Zakki who was the former security advisor to the governor of Indian Occupied Kashmir have said on the record in Indian media that they have found Ajit Doval helping a fake faction of Hizbul-Mujahideen to infiltrate into Hazrat Bal Shrine. Later on they were also given a safe passage. Like Mumbai attack the Hazrat Bal Shrine siege took 72 hours and the Kandahar Hijacking negotiations went on for one week so that it could get much more attention and coverage in the international media.



Mr. Makhdoom Babar further highlighted the activities of Ajit Doval and said that after his retirement he served in a RAW funded Think Tank. After his appointment as a National Security Advisor, the Indian foreign office matters are also being run with the intelligence perspective. This became obvious when the Indian foreign secretary said that he is not involved in that tour, and everything for it was done by Mr. Doval. Also India's relations with Pakistan are not improving, because Pakistan is trying to solve the issues through diplomatic means, while Indians are trying to think through a policeman's perspective.

While concluding his speech, Mr. Makhdoom Babar said that the latest investigation reveals that Mr Ajit Kumar Doval has written to the Indian Prime Minister Office for the creation of a 450 member special intelligence unit to sabotage CPEC. The Indian PM office has given approval of this special intelligence cell.

Mr. Tauqir Ahmed – Senior Member Advisory Board CGSS

Mr. Tauqir began his speech by greeting all the guest speakers and the



participants. He said that he agrees with Mr. Makhdoom Babar in calling Ajit Doval as Ajit Devil due to his devil plans against Pakistan and for the region. Mr. Tauqir then told the audience that he still remember 26th November 2008. This

was the day when India not only betray its own nation but also tried to deceive the whole world by executing a long-planned sophisticated false flag operation which is also known as 26/11. This attack was very well planned by Indian intelligence agency RAW to attract international attention in order to achieve the following four main objectives with one shot:

- i. Pakistan to be accused as a country supporting terrorism
- ii. Labeling Kashmiri Freedom Fighters as a terrorist
- iii. Portraying Palestinians as terrorists and Assassination of Chief of Indian anti-terrorist squad
- iv. To pass the anti-terror legislation and demand huge funds allocation


Mr. Tauqir said it is pertinent to mention that US President Barak Obama acknowledges in his election speech that “the people of Kashmir and Palestine must have the right to self-determination and the right for their own independent state, and he is committed to resolving these issues as soon as he is elected as the president of United States.” Mr. Tauqir pointed out that this statement of Barak Obama was not liked by the stakeholders, therefore they had to plan something. Then later came out in the form of Mumbai terror attack on 26th November 2008.

Mr. Tauqir informed that his research without being biased or a preconceived opinion from December 2008 showed that the 26th November attack was indeed an insider job and a false flag operation. He regretted that Pakistan adopted a defensive mood after those attacks and not an offensive

one to counter Indian aggressive strategy, which created a vacuum. He said India took full advantage of this vacuum and made all efforts to malign Pakistan security establishment. Mr. Tauqeer shared that he was indeed sure that one-day truth will come out and it will prevail. That truth came out when Mr. Davidson wrote his book in June 2018 after finding the truth about Indian aggressors.

While summing up his remarks he boosted the audience to adopt, “I care for my country policy, instead of, I do not care” motto. Cyberwar affair or fifth-generation war particularly the Indian narrative against Pakistan should be evaluated before it is shared with other people. Love and protect your motherland as you will protect your own mother, no matter where you are and whether you are dual national or a Pakistani national.

Mr. Elias Davidsson – Author of the book; “The Betrayal of India”



Mr. Elias Davidsson commenced his speech by saying that he felt honored to be invited by Center for Global and Strategic Islamabad. He addressed the audience that most of you believe that the Mumbai attacks were an inside job and Pakistan has nothing to do with it. He

then said that as you believe in this fact, but believing and knowing is a different thing. Between belief and knowledge, there is a big gap. He told that he wants to transform the belief of the audience into knowledge, and that knowledge into a conviction, such a conviction that will allow you to stand upright and say to the entire world that India has accused Pakistan unjustly, maliciously and dangerously. Similarly, the fact is that Pakistan possesses the truth that Mumbai attack was an Indian job. This truth will be transformed into a conviction, which will give Pakistan the right to stand up at the United Nations or any other forum.

Mr. Davidson revealed that he did not write his book for Pakistan or India. It was basically a different project. It was actually the investigation of 9/11. He said that when he discovered that 9/11 actions were not by Osama Bin

Laden or Islamic Fundamentalists, but most probably an inside job by the American Regime. He said when he discovered this truth after ten years of investigation, he began to investigate other terrorist attacks imputed to Muslim fundamentalists, like the London attacks of 2005, Djerba in Tunisia, Istanbul, and Aman. He further told that he wanted to write an analogy of different attacks by comparing its various aspects; their criterion and their characteristics. He said he wanted to find out any common trend among these attacks and eventually it was founded, which will be discussed later on. In that process of investigation, Mumbai attacks also came as a case study.

Mr. Davidson told that this case study had these features that transcended 60,70 pages of a chapter and became a book of 900 pages because it was a very complex operation for which there were a lot of materials that could be drawn into the investigation and presented to public. He said he carried out the entire work from his home in Germany by using all publically available documents to demonstrate that what India is saying about Pakistan, about this operation, is a lie from A to Z. there is not a single fact in the official narrative.



He elaborated that he has no bias for Pakistan, nor any enmity towards India, but he has stood for truth and justice all his life and Truth and justice are the guidelines that he has followed in his every word. He then told that there is no other book that explains the Mumbai attacks in so many details. The Indian elite and political heads have betrayed the Public of India by not informing them of the truth. The victims here are the people of India and not Pakistan, it is falsely accused by India in this case.

Mr. Davidson said that the official account of the Indian Government said that the attack was conducted between 26 November and 28th November 2008 and it lasted for 58-59 hours. Officially the attack was carried out in 8 places in Mumbai and 10 Gunmen were deployed to these places. Similarly, the official number of fatalities was 162 with 300 injured. The Indian government claimed that the gunmen came to Mumbai by sea from Karachi. It was also told that 1000 Indian military and its commandos were fighting these 8 to 10 attackers. The question that arises is that how come 1000 trained commandos fight 8 amateur fighters for 3 days in hotels. Mr. Davidson said he will divide the speech into four sections as; the first one will be initial facts and accusations, the second part will be the attacks, the peculiarities, and anomalies, then the third part will be the investigation of the Mumbai attack by Indian authorities in the trial of Ajmal Kassab, the fourth section will deal with the state motives, state motives of India, Pakistan and the United States.

Initial Facts

Mr. Davidson said the first news during the Mumbai attack crisis was shared by the Indian officials of the state of Maharashtra was that nine terrorists are arrested. These were not rumors as it was confirmed by the high officials of the state of Maharashtra. This news disappeared like nothing in two to three days. The news then later came out that nine terrorists were killed. The question that arises is where these nine persons were arrested or were they killed in custody. Nobody knows, however the important fact is that the officials relying police information said that they have nine people under custody. This fact was not only reported in Indian media, but also by the BBC. This fact was not reported in Pakistan's media. He then said that there was no proper identification of the bodies of these people who were allegedly Pakistani. There is no witness of these people being killed in the locations of

the attack. The judgment of Ajmal Kassab also said that there was no witness to the killings of these attackers, so it is a documented fact. Secondly, there is no evidence that the bodies of the people that was presented later had been recovered from the crime scene. It is because neither the movement of the bodies was recorded nor there was any effort to record the crime scene. Nobody knows that the bodies that were later presented as of Pakistanis were really those of the alleged attackers. It was just stipulated that they are Pakistanis as no proper identification process was carried out. This stipulation relied on one single statement of Ajmal Kassab to the police, also the fact that he did not know the real names of those people. So, the whole story of Pakistanis being involved just becomes irrelevant here.

The honorable author then said that it was reported in the earlier days of the attack that some of the attackers were British Pakistanis. This was reported by the British newspapers. The Daily Mail said that seven of the gunmen were British Pakistanis and they even gave the name of the British cities from where these seven people belonged. This was also cited by the BBC, and confirmed by one Indian Authority. The question is: Did the Daily Mail and the BBS lied or invent that story? If not then who provided this information to them. This is the question that everyone is entitled to know and it should be resolved.



Mr. Davidson underlined that before the end of the attack, the Prime Minister of India Manmohan Singh indicated that the attack was carried out by foreigners who came from Pakistan. How could he say that before even the people involved were identified? His accusations and statements of intent imply that he and his cabinet had already particular measures in mind as a sequel to the attack. Similarly, the opposition under Narendra Modi called the attacks as an act of war. An act of war has very strong implications in international law as it triggers Article 51 of the United Nations Charter and would have given India an excuse to attack Pakistan.

Mr. Elias Davidson therefore revealed that there were efforts to contrive and to construe the attack as an act of war. This kind of terminology was again cited in the judgment of Ajmal Kasaab in which the prosecution was talking about the act of war and the judge accepted it and did not object to it. This shows that there was an attempt to out blow the attack and create a casus belli. He further said that after analyzing the Mumbai attacks it was founded that there were two series of attacks; the domestic attacks and the international attacks. The domestic attacks are characterized by the fact that the victims were normal Indian citizens, while the international attacks were on luxury hotels and cafes which was frequently visited by foreign tourists. These two sets of attacks had different goals and different teams, but they must have been coordinated as such attacks at the same time could not be carried out without coordination. He said his theory is that there were two command sectors one for the domestic operation and the other for international operation both coordinated with each other. This means that the attacks in Mumbai were carried out by one mind and one planner.

Mr. Elias Davidson shared that the domestic operation was basically carried out at four locations; the railway station, the Kama Hospital, the third place was near the hospital where three senior officials and their assistants were assassinated, the fourth place was the metro junction, where people were shot from a police car allegedly driven by the terrorists. There are also huge contradictions in the time of the attacks as provided by the officials and by the eyewitnesses. For instance the Kama hospital shootings began the official timings. According to Rakesh Maria the chief of the Mumbai police said he sent his teams to the Kama Hospital attack site at 10:29 am, and the official time of the attack is also 10:30 am, but the witnesses said the attack around Kama hospital began at 10:00 am and then the shootings there in Kama

Hospital were going on till the early morning of next day. This was reported by TV channels from Mumbai. Similarly the place where the police officer was killed and the metro stations were attacked had also separate teams of attackers. This shows that there were four teams operating to conduct domestic operations. Mr. Elias Davidson said that in his opinion these teams were operating to eliminate Hemant Karkare. He said this whole domestic operation was designed to kill him. So, as the official version that there was only one team of Ajmal Kasaab and he killed people on his way look very dubious as more than one team was involved. This claim is clearly negated by the testimonies and official timings of the attacks.


The esteemed speaker then highlighted the facts about the International operation. He said the international operation was carried out at four locations; the Leopold Café, the Taj Hotel, the Oberoi hotel, and the Jewish center. In Leopold the police and officials say that the shootings continued for ten minutes, but witnesses said that it took 25 to 45 minutes. The witnesses also contradict the police version about the attackers who said they were two in number, one witness said they were three in numbers and they came on motorbikes. These witnesses' accounts create questions which were not followed up by the courts. These witnesses from CST, Kama Hospital should have been called by the courts and cross-questioned. The court eliminated all those who had anything factual or important, they were just ignored. Some witnesses also said that two of the attackers were seen eating at the same hotels before the start of the attack. Claims like these were also ignored and were never investigated.

Mr. Elias Davidson then shed light on the attack site of the Taj Mahal hotel. He shared that the site was one of the most known, and the attack there lasted for 59 hours. Most the information that is available in public about this site relates to the early hours of the attack or the first night of the attack. It is very strange that there is no information in the public domain after the remaining 48 hours. A book named "The Siege" written by British journalists on Taj attack also allocates very few pages the last 48 hours of the attack. The author said it seems that there is a cover-up and a wall of silence over what happened there. There were 35 fatalities in the Taj Mahal hotel, but the circumstances of death of these people were not established. There appears no witness in the court who could testify that he witnessed the killing of a victim or the killing of the attackers by the Indian army.

He then shared another observation and said that one of the fellows of Indian commandos who was also looking for the gunmen reported in the court that gunmen were allowed to sleep in the Oberoi hotel. He said that he did not make this story, it is written in the judgment and everyone can read it. He said this story means that these people were not fighting gunmen, but the red and blue team belonging to the Indian commandos who were staging this operation.

Characteristics of the Attacks

Mr. Davidson said when there is an attack going over such a long period, during the investigation then the court establishes that when it started and ended. A time-line is made in all such cases, but in all these episodes no timeline is being issued. He said that the police officials should have that timeline because they would require one for their generation of false narrative. The reports about number of gunmen also looked ambiguous. Similarly, fake news was circulated at that time about the gunmen looking for the Americans and British people. This was a fake story carefully propagated and intended to incite people in the West against Muslims.



The Jewish Center attack

He told the audience that the Nariman house or Jewish center is a modest hostel for the Jews and the Israelis who come to India. This center located in the unpaved streets of southern Mumbai is not an obvious building. Even the locals do not find it easily. He pointed out that those who choose to attack this building did it very purposely to give the impression that the Islamic people are attacking Jews. This was in the headlines of the newspapers in United States. So, it became very clear that this attack was for American consumption. He said the number of people killed there and by whom, no one knows about it. In this attack too, the attackers were given two hours for rest.

The Phone Calls

Mr. Davidson said three series of phone calls are important to consider. The first phone calls were the one allegedly by the gunmen to India TV. Two things are important for this series of phone calls. India TV should put on air on national TV without due diligence people they do not know. No one can be on a national TV that quickly, but these people were on air after five minutes. Similarly, when one the alleged terrorist was asked about their demands, they even did not know what they were fighting for.

He continued and said that then the main calls were those allegedly made by the handlers with the operatives. These calls were used by the Indian Government for Pakistan's involvement. He said there are few things which could be discussed about these phone calls. First of all the operation was complex enough to identify the location of the origin of these calls. The FBI (US) intercepted these calls and provide the information to India.

Mr. Davidson then shared the contents of those calls and declared it as mind-blowing. He said he wondered why these transcripts were published because they are surreal. For instance micro handling of the operatives is visible in the transcript when the handler asked about the magazines from the attacker and asked him to set on burst mode and sit in manner where he cannot be attacked. Similarly, the attackers were asked to protect each other when they use their grenades. Mr. Davidson said it looks ridiculous as no one talk to a trained gunman like this. The second anomaly is the use of religion during the talks between the handlers and the attackers in these phone calls. The handlers are giving sermons to the attackers on-site in that situation. The third feature of these conversations is the inside knowledge of the handlers. For instance the handler told one attacker that the prime minister

of India ordered a helicopter to evacuate a minister from the attacked hotel. Mr. Davidson said how an alleged handler sitting in Pakistan could learn about the private orders of the Prime Minister of India at that time. The handler then also talked to the attacker about three cabinet ministers and a secretary at the Taj hotel. This awareness of the inside information is only possible if the handlers too are present at the Taj Hotel.

The Investigation and the Trial

Mr. Davidson also informed that the investigations were carried out by two person committee and not the police. Ajmal Kassab made the confession to the police on the first night of his arrest. That was leaked to the press, but the police rejected it as a confession. After three months of his detention he made a confession again in front of a magistrate behind closed doors. The official confession was never published but it was formulated in a form that nobody can orally say. It was very well constructed. Also Ajmal Kasaab once rejected it in the court, but later accepted it as his own. Among his defenders one was killed and the others were rejected.

He further added that the investigation was carried out by a police officer who himself was part of the operation. This officer said that he accepts the guilt of Ajmal Kasaab, even before his formal trial. The officials of India decided that Ajmal Kassab is guilty before the trial. This is complete violation of every standard due process when the state officials declare a person guilty. Similarly, all the witnesses were coached for the court hearing for months. Also the whole story of linking the attack with Pakistan was linked by a testimony of a single person who said he saw people arriving on a boat. So the whole Indian narrative was based on just person, who was never cross-questioned. This one witnessed was also coached for his testimony and he revealed it himself in the court.

Mr. Davidson then told that what is deplorable about the justice system of India is that the high court of Mumbai and the Supreme Court of India corroborated this Injustice by the trial court. Even the trial court judge was lauded for the so-called excellent work.

India's Motives

Mr. Elias Davidson told that he would differ with the argument that the India motives for the Mumbai False Flag operation were Maligning Pakistan. He said he is certain that Pakistan was the primary motive, but following the attack a substantial increase of 30pc was made in police and military budgets. These increases were demanded by the police and military before the attacks, but the Mumbai attack provides the trigger for these increases. The justification provided for these attacks was provided as Mumbai attacks. The second motive was pushing through the development of the security industry. That security industry has boomed after the Mumbai attacks. The security equipment manufacturing companies earned billions in orders from the Indian public.

He further stated that the third motive of the attack was to galvanize the middle class of India to take a strong position on counter-terrorism surveillance. Before the Mumbai attacks the middle class of India was very apolitical. After Mumbai there was a change in paradigm among the middle class. This galvanizing was the same as one became apparent after 9/11. Mr. Davidson told that the Indian state provides the best laboratory to the United States to check its surveillance technologies. He shared that shortly after the Mumbai attack the federation of Industrial and commercial enterprises called a conference on national security. this was very strange as a business



organization was holding a conference on security in such short time and then publishing a paper in which it gives marching orders to New Delhi to how to cope with terrorism. It shows how a business organization is putting its weight behind the security agenda of Indian government and ordering it on issues related to media and security.

The Part of the United States

Mr. Elias Davidson revealed that the US part is very less known. Its part begins with miscellaneous thing. The white house immediately after the Mumbai attacks, established a task force on it. This task force worked for six months, twenty-four hours a day. Similarly the New York police department showed very keen importance in the Mumbai attacks. It prepared an analysis report on the attack and within week arranged a seminar on the Mumbai attack in New York to discuss the modus operandi of the attacks. The FBI sends a team from Los Angeles when the attack was still in progress. That team also interviewed Kassab for 9 hours. The newly appointed Indian Interior minister at that time played a significant role in bringing in the American investigative agencies to the Mumbai probe. Mr. Davidson said that he believes that the interior minister himself was involved in the Mumbai operations. He added that the Department of Homeland Security in America was equally very much interested in Mumbai probe. One month after the attack there also was a congressional hearing on Mumbai attack. There was also a private company, which is now defunct, soon after the attack it released a report in which it gave name to each team. Like Romeo, Tango-1, Tango-2, etc. These kinds of names are usually given by the intelligence agencies to their operatives in operations. The question that arises here is that who provides that private company this information.

Mr. Davidson declared that the deep interests of the United are also obvious from a fact that no such interest was showed by the US after London or Madrid attacks. He said the Mumbai attack was not only an operation of Indian forces but a joint operation with America for it to pursue its geostrategic interests and strengthen its relations with India. Also the aim was to increase defense cooperation and use the Indian markets for defense products. The goal of corroborating with India was also aimed at containing the Chinese influence in the region. Mr. Davidson then highlighted The November 2010 joint statement by Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and President Obama in which they said that anti-terror cooperation is now the pillar of US-India Cooperation. He added that the global interests of US and India transcend beyond the perception of India-Pakistan conflict.

He further informed that the information about the involvement of Israel is not sufficient, so it may be a partner in this operation, and it may be not, but the American information is very important. The American Media also provided unprecedented coverage to the attack.

While concluding his speech, Mr. Davidson said that the Mumbai operation has many features and details which provide many pieces of evidence of it being as the False Flag operation. He said that while giving the attention to many details of the that he tried to establish the truth through his research. The truth will be the best weapon for Pakistan. Now, it also has enormous evidence against India. Pakistan can now prove that India has blamed it wrongfully and maliciously. Pakistan can also use this compendium of truth internationally to inform the entire world that the Government of India has been lying not only about us but also to their own people.